วารสารวิทยาศาสตร์บูรพา ปีที่ 26 (ฉบับที่ 3) กันยายน – ธันวาคม พ.ศ. 2564
BURAPHA SCIENCE JOURNAL Volume 26 (No.3) September – December 2021 บทความวิจัย

G - กึ่งกรุปอันดับที่บรรจุฐานสองด้าน

On Ordered G - Semigroups Containing Two-sided Bases

วิชญาพร จันทะนัน, กชกร นวดไธสง และ สุชาติ เจริญรัมย์ *

Wichayaporn Jantanan, Kodchakorn Nuadthaisong and Suchat Jaroenram*

ภาควิชาคณิตศาสตร์ คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยราชภัฏบุรีรัมย์

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Buriram Rajabhat University

Received : 2 September 2020

Revised : 15 December 2020

Accepted : 26 February 2021

บทคัดย่อ

ในบทความวิจัยนี้ศึกษาแนวคิดของ แกมมากึ่งกรุปอันดับที่บรรจุฐานสองด้าน โดยจะศึกษาคล้ายกับแนวคิดของแกมมากึ่งกรุปที่บรรจุฐานสองด้าน ซึ่ง ธวัช ช่างผัส และ พิสิทธิ์ คำมูล ได้ทำการศึกษาในปี ค.ศ. 2018 นอกจากนี้ เรายังพิสูจน์ว่าทุก ๆ แกมมากึ่งกรุปอันดับที่บรรจุฐานสองด้านจะมีสมาชิกเท่ากัน

คำสำคัญ : แกมมากึ่งกรุปอันดับ ; ฐานสองด้าน ; แกมมาไอดีล

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the concept of ordered G -semigroups containing two-sided bases that are studied analogously to the concept of G -semigroups containing two-sided bases considered by T. Changpas and P. Kummoon in 2018. Moreover, we prove any ordered G -semigroups containing two-sided bases have the same cadinality.

Keywords : ordered G -semigroup ; two-sided bases ; G -Ideal

*Corresponding author. E-mail : 600112210021@bru.ac.th

Introduction

The notion of two-sided bases of semigroups has been introduced and studied by I. Fabrici. (Fabrici, 1975). Indeed, a non-empty subset A of a semigroup S is said to be a two-sided base of S if A satisfies the two following conditions :

(1) $S = A \cup SA \cup AS \cup SAS$;

(2) If B is a subset of A such that $S = B \cup SB \cup BS \cup SBS$, then $B = A$.

The concept of a Γ-semigroup has been introduced by M. K. Sen. (Sen, 1981). The concept of Γ-semigroups containing two-sided bases was firstly given by T. Changphas and P. Kummoon. (Thawhat & Pisit, 2018). Indeed, a non-empty subset A of S is called a two-sided base of S if it satisfies the two following conditions:

(1) $S = A \cup S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma A\Gamma S$;

(2) If B is a subset of A such that $S = B \cup S\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma B\Gamma S$, then $B = A$.

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept and extend the result to an ordered Γ-semigroup containing two-sided bases. It will get the form of ordered Γ-semigroups containing two-sided bases is a non-empty subset A of an ordered Γ-semigroup S is called a two-sided base of S if it satisfies the two following conditions:

(1) $S = (A \cup S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma A\Gamma S]$;

(2) If B is a subset of A such that $S = (B \cup S\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma B\Gamma S]$, then $B = A$.

We now recall some definitions and results used throughtout the paper.

Definition 1.1. (Thawhat & Pisit, 2018). Let S and Γ be any two non-empty sets. Then S is called a Γ-semigroup if there exists a mapping from $S \times \Gamma \times S \to S$, written as $(a, \gamma, b) \mapsto a\gamma b$, satisfying the following identity $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Definition 1.2. (Abdul *et al.*, 2017). Let $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ be a Γ-semigroup. For A and B be two non-empty subsets of S, the set product $A\Gamma B$ is defined to be the set of all elements $a\gamma b$ in S where $a \in A, b \in B$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. That is

$$A\Gamma B := \{a\gamma b \mid a \in A, b \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Also we write $B\Gamma a$ instead of $B\Gamma\{a\}$, and we write $a\Gamma B$ instead of $\{a\}\Gamma B$, for $a \in S$.

Definition 1.3. (Niovi, 2017). An ordered Γ-semigroup is a Γ-semigroup S together with a partial order relation $\leq$ on S such that $a \leq b$ implies $a\gamma c \leq b\gamma c$ and $c\gamma a \leq c\gamma b$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

(Iampan, 2009). For an element a of an ordered Γ-semigroup S, define $(a] := \{t \in S \mid t \leq a\}$ and for a subset H of S, define $(H] = \bigcup_{h \in H} (h]$, that is $(H] = \{t \in S \mid t \leq h$ for some $h \in H\}$. Then the following holds true:

1. $H \subseteq (H] = ((H]]$;
2. For any subsets A and B of S with $A \subseteq B$, we have $(A] \subseteq (B]$;
3. For any subsets A and B of S, we have $(A \cup B] = (A] \cup (B]$;
4. For any subsets A and B of S, we have $(A \cap B] \subseteq (A] \cap (B]$.

Definition 1.4. (Niovi, 2017). A non-empty subset A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is called a Γ-subsemigroup of S if $A\Gamma A \subseteq A$.

Definition 1.5. (Kwon and Lee, 1998). A non-empty subset A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is called a left (resp. right) Γ-ideal of S if it satisfies :

(1) $S\Gamma A \subseteq A$ (resp. $A\Gamma S \subseteq A$);

(2) if $a \in A$ and $b \leq a$ for $b \in S$ implies $b \in A$.

Both a left Γ-ideal and a right Γ-ideal of an ordered Γ-semigroup S is called a Γ-ideal of S.

Definition 1.6. (Kostaq & Edmond, 2006). An Γ-ideal A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is called proper if $A \neq S$. A proper Γ-ideal A of S is called maximal if for each Γ-ideal T of S such that $A \subseteq T$, we have $T = A$ or $T = S$, i.e., there is no Γ-ideal T of S such that $A \subset T \subset S$.

Proposition 1.7. (Kostaq & Edmond, 2006). Let $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ be an ordered Γ-semigroup and $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ a family of Γ-ideals of S. If $\cap \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \neq \emptyset$, then the set $\cap \{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a Γ-ideal of S and $\cup \{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ is also a Γ-ideal of S.

It is known (Niovi, 2017) that if denoted by $I(A)$, is the smallest Γ-ideal of S containing A, and $I(A)$ is of the form $I(A) = (A \cup S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma A\Gamma S]$. In particular, for an element $a \in S$, we write $I(\{a\})$, $I(a)$ which is called the principal Γ-ideal of S generated by a. Thus $I(a) = (a \cup S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S]$. Note that for any $b \in S$, we have $(S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b\Gamma S]$ is a Γ-ideal of S. Finally, if A and B are two Γ-ideals of S, then the union $A \cup B$ is a Γ-ideal of S.

Methods

We begin this section with the definitions of two-sided bases of ordered Γ-semigroups.

Definition 2.1. (Abul *et al.*, 2017). Let $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ be an ordered Γ-semigroup. A non-empty subset A of S is called a two-sided base of S if it satisfies the two following conditions :

(1) $S = (A \cup S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma A\Gamma S]$;

(2) If B is a subset of A such that $S = (B \cup S\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma B\Gamma S]$, then $B = A$.

We now provide some examples.

Example 2.2. (Chinnadurai & Arulmozhi, 2018). Let $S = \{a,b,c,d\}$ and $\Gamma = \{a,b\}$ where a,b are define on S with the following Cayley tables:

a	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	b	c	d
c	a	c	c	c
d	a	c	c	c

b	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	b	c	d
c	a	c	c	c
d	a	b	c	d

$$\leq := \{(a,a),(a,b),(a,c),(a,d),(b,b),(b,c),(b,d),(c,c),(d,c),(d,d)\}$$

In (Chinnadurai & Arulmozhi, 2018). $(S,\Gamma,\leq)$ is an ordered Γ-semigroup. It is easy to see that the two-sided bases of S are $\{b\}$ and $\{d\}$. But $\{b,d\}$ is not a two-sided base.

Example 2.3. (Subrahmanyeswara *et al.*, 2012). Let $S = \{a,b,c,d\}$ and $\Gamma = \{a\}$ where a is defined on S with the following Cayley tables:

a	a	b	c	d
a	b	b	d	d
b	b	b	d	d
c	d	d	c	d
d	d	d	d	d

$$\leq := \{(a,a),(b,b),(c,c),(d,d),(a,b),(d,b),(d,c)\}$$

In (Subrahmanyeswara *et al.*, 2012). $(S,\Gamma,\leq)$ is an ordered Γ-semigroup. It is easy to see that the two-sided bases of S is $\{a,c\}$. But $\{b\}$ and $\{d\}$ are not a two-sided bases.

In Example 2.2. and Example 2.3., it is observed that two-sided bases of S have same cardinality. This leads to prove in Theorem 3.4.

Hereafter, for any ordered Γ-semigroup $(S,\Gamma,\leq)$, we shall use the quasi-ordering which is defined as follows.

Definition 2.4. Let $(S,\Gamma,\leq)$ be an ordered Γ-semigroup. We define a quasi-ordering $\preceq$ on S for any $a,b \in S$,

$$a \preceq_I b \Leftrightarrow I(a) \subseteq I(b).$$

We write $a \prec_I b$ if $a \preceq_I b$ but $a \neq b$. It is clear that, for any a,b in S, $a \leq b$ implies $a \preceq_I b$.

Lemma 2.5. Let A be a two-sided base of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S,\Gamma,\leq)$, and $a,b \in A$.

If $a \in (S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b\Gamma S]$, then $a = b$.

Proof. Assume that $a \in (S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b\Gamma S]$, and suppose that $a \neq b$. Let $B = A \setminus \{a\}$. Since $a \neq b, b \in B$. To show that $I(A) \subseteq I(B)$, it suffices to show that $A \subseteq I(B)$. Let $x \in A$. There are two cases to consider. If $x \neq a$, then $x \in B$, and so $x \in I(B)$. If $x \neq a$, then by assumption we have $x = a \in (S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b\Gamma S] \subseteq I(b) \subseteq I(B)$. So we have $I(A) \subseteq I(B)$. Thus $S = I(A) \subseteq I(B) \subseteq S$. This is a contradiction. Hence $a = b$.

Results

In this part, the algebraic structure of an ordered Γ-semigroup containing two-sided bases will be presented.

Theorem 3.1. A non-empty subset A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is a two-sided base of S if and only if A satisfies the two following conditions:

(1) For any $x \in S$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I a$;

(2) For any $a, b \in A$, if $a \neq b$, then neither $a \preceq_I b$ nor $b \preceq_I a$.

Proof. Assume first that A is a two-sided base of S. Then $I(A) = S$. Let $x \in S$ Then $x \in I(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} I(a)$, and so $x \in I(a)$ for some $a \in A$. This implies $I(x) \subseteq I(a)$. Hence $x \preceq_I a$. Hence condition (1) is true. Let $a, b \in A$ such that $a \neq b$ Suppose that $a \preceq_I b$. We set $B = A \setminus \{a\}$. Then $b \in B$. Let $x \in S$. By (1), there exists $c \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I c$. There are two cases to consider. If $c \neq a$, then $c \in B$, thus $I(x) \subseteq I(c) \subseteq I(B)$. Hence $S = I(B)$. This is a contradiction. If $c \neq a$, then $x \preceq_I b$ hence $x \in I(B)$ since $b \in B$. We have $S = I(B)$. This is a contradiction. The case $b \preceq_I a$ is proved similarly. Hence condition (2) is true.

Conversely, assume that the condition (1) and (2) hold. We will show that A is a two-sided base of S. To show that $S = I(A)$. Let $x \in S$. By (1), then there exists $a \in A$ such that $I(x) \subseteq I(a)$. Then $x \in I(x) \subseteq I(a) \subseteq I(A)$. Thus $S \subseteq I(A)$, and $S = I(A)$. Next it remains to show that A is a minimal subset of S with the property: $S = I(A)$. Suppose that $S = I(B)$ for some $B \subset A$. Since $B \subset A$, there exists $a \in A \setminus B$. So $a \notin (B]$. Since $a \in A \subseteq S = I(B)$ and $a \notin (B]$, it follows that $a \in (S\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma B\Gamma S]$. Since $a \in (S\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma B\Gamma S]$, we have $a \leq y$ for some $y \in S\Gamma B \cup B\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma B\Gamma S$. There are three cases to consider:

Case 1: $y \in B\Gamma S$. Then $y = b_1 g s$ for some $b_1 \in B, g \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. Since $a \leq y$ and $y \in b_1 \cup S\Gamma b_1 \cup b_1\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b_1\Gamma S$, we have $a \in (b_1 \cup S\Gamma b_1 \cup b_1\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b_1\Gamma S]$. It follows that $I(a) \subseteq I(b_1)$. Hence, $a \preceq_I b_1$. This is a contradiction.

Case 2: $y \in S\Gamma B$. Then $y = s g b_2$ for some $b_2 \in B, g \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. Since $a \leq y$ and $y \in b_2 \cup S\Gamma b_2 \cup b_2\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b_2\Gamma S$, we have $a \in (b_2 \cup S\Gamma b_2 \cup b_2\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b_2\Gamma S]$. It follows that $I(a) \subseteq I(b_2)$. Hence, $a \preceq_I b_2$. This is a contradiction.

Case 3: $y \in S\Gamma B\Gamma S$. Then $y = s_1\gamma_1 b_3 \gamma_2 s_2$ for some $b_3 \in B$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ and $s \in S$. Since $a \le y$ and $y \in b_3 \cup S\Gamma b_3 \cup b_3\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b_3 \Gamma S$, we have $a \in (b_3 \cup S\Gamma b_3 \cup b_3\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b_3\Gamma S]$. It follows that $I(a) \subseteq I(b_3)$. Hence $a \preceq_I b_3$. This is a contradiction.

Therefore A is a two-sided base of S as required, and the proof is completed.

Theorem 3.2. Let A be a two-sided base of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \le)$ such that $I(a) = I(b)$ for some $a \in A$ and $b \in S$. If $a \ne b$, then S contains at least two two-sided bases.

Proof. Assume that $a \ne b$. Suppose that $b \in A$. Since $a \preceq_I b$ and $a \ne b$, it follows that $a \in (S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b\Gamma S]$. By Lemma 2.5., we obtain $a = b$. This is a contradiction. Thus $b \in S \setminus A$. Let $B := (A \setminus \{a\}) \cup \{b\}$. Since $b \in B$, we have $b \notin A$, and $B \not\subseteq A$. Hence $A \ne B$. We will show that B is a two-sided base of S. To show that B satisfies (1) in Theorem 3.1., let $x \in S$. Since A is a two-sided base of S, there exists $c \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I c$. If $c \ne a$, then $c \in B$. If $c = a$, then $x \preceq_I a$. Since $a \preceq_I b$, $x \preceq_I a \preceq_I b$, we have $x \preceq_I b$. To show that B satisfies (2) in Theorem 3.1., let $c_1, c_2 \in B$ be such that $c_1 \ne c_2$. We will show that neither $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$ nor $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$. Since $c_1 \in B$ and $c_2 \in B$, we have $c_1 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ or $c_1 = b$ and $c_2 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ or $c_2 = b$. There are four cases to consider:

Case 1: $c_1 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ and $c_2 \in A \setminus \{a\}$. This implies neither $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$ nor $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$.

Case 2: $c_1 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ and $c_2 = b$. If $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$, then $c_1 \preceq_I b$. Since $b \preceq_I a$, $c_1 \preceq_I b \preceq_I a$. Thus $c_1 \preceq_I a$, a contradiction. If $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$, then $b \preceq_I c_1$. Since $a \preceq_I b$, $a \preceq_I b \preceq_I c_1$. So $a \preceq_I c_1$, a contradiction.

Case 3: $c_2 \in A \setminus \{a\}$ and $c_1 = b$. If $c_1 \preceq_I c_2$, then $b \preceq_I c_2$. Since $a \preceq_I b$, $a \preceq_I b \preceq_I c_2$. Hence $a \preceq_I c_2$, a contradiction. If $c_2 \preceq_I c_1$, then $c_2 \preceq_I b$. Since $b \preceq_I a$, $c_2 \preceq_I b \preceq_I a$. Thus $c_2 \preceq_I a$, a contradiction.

Case 4: $c_1 = b$ and $c_2 = b$. This is impossible.

Thus B satisfies (1) and (2) in Theorem 3.1. Therefore B is a two-sided base of S.

Corollary 3.3. Let A be a two-sided base of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \le)$, and let $a \in A$. If $I(x) = I(a)$ for some $x \in S$, $x \ne a$, then x belongs to a two-sided base of S, which is different from A.

Theorem 3.4. Let A and B be any two-sided bases of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \le)$. Then A and B have the same cardinality.

Proof. Let $a \in A$. Since B is a two-sided base of S, by Theorem 3.1.(1), there exists an element $b \in B$ such that $a \preceq_I b$. Since A is a two-sided base of S, by Theorem 3.1.(1), there exists $a^* \in A$ such that $b \preceq_I a^*$. So $a \preceq_I b \preceq_I a^*$, i.e., $a \preceq_I a^*$. By Theorem 3.1.(2), $a = a^*$. Hence $I(a) = I(b)$. Define a mapping

$$\varphi : A \to B \text{ by } \varphi(a) = b \text{ for all } a \in A.$$

To show that φ is well-defined, let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be such that $a_1 = a_2$, $\varphi(a_1) = b_1$ and $\varphi(a_2) = b_2$ for some

$b_1, b_2 \in B$. Then $I(a_1) = I(b_1)$ and $I(a_2) = I(b_2)$. Since $a_1 = a_2$, $I(a_1) = I(a_2)$. Hence $I(a_1) = I(a_2) = I(b_1) = I(b_2)$, i.e., $b_1 \preceq_I b_2$ and $b_2 \preceq_I b_1$. By Theorem 3.1.(2), $b_1 = b_2$. Thus $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2)$. Therefore, φ is well-defined. We will show that φ is one- one. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be such that $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2)$. Since $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2)$, $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2) = b$ for some $b \in B$. So $I(a_2) = I(a_1) = I(b)$. Since $I(a_2) = I(a_1)$, $a_1 \preceq_I a_2$ and $a_2 \preceq_I a_1$. This implies $a_1 = a_2$. Therefore, φ is one-one. We will show that φ is onto. Let $b \in B$. Since A is a two-sided base of S, by Theorem 3.1.(1), there exists an element $a \in A$ such that $b \preceq_I a$. Since B is a two-sided base of S, by Theorem 3.1.(1), there exists an element $b^* \in B$ such that $a \preceq_I b^*$. So $b \preceq_I a \preceq_I b^*$, i.e., $b \preceq_I b^*$. This implies $b = b^*$. Hence $I(a) = I(b)$. Thus $\varphi(a) = b$. Therefore, φ is onto. This completes the proof.

If a two-sided base A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is a Γ-ideal of S, then $S = (A \cup S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma A\Gamma S] \subseteq (A] = A$. Hence $S = A$. The converse statement is obvious. Then we conclude that.

Remark 3.5. It is observed that a two-sided base A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is a two-sided Γ-ideal of S if and only if $A = S$.

In Example 2.2., it is easy to see that $\{d\}$ is a two-sided base of S, but it is not a Γ-subsemigroup of S This shows that a two-sided base of an ordered Γ-semigroup need not to be a Γ-subsemigroup in (Niovi, 2018). A non-empty subset A of S is called an idempotent if $A = (A\Gamma A]$ or $a = a\gamma a$ for all $a \in A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The following theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions of a two-sided base of S to be a Γ-subsemigroup S.

Theorem 3.6. A two-sided base A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is a Γ-subsemigroup if and only if $A = \{a\}$ with $a\gamma a = a$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Assume that A is a Γ-subsemigroup of S. Let $a, b \in A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since A is a Γ-subsemigroup of S, $a\gamma b \in A$. Setting $a\gamma b = c$; thus $c \in S\Gamma b \subseteq S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b\Gamma B \subseteq (S\Gamma b \cup b\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma b\Gamma B]$. By Lemma 2.5., $c = b$. So $a\gamma b = b$. Similarly, $c \in a\Gamma S \subseteq S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S \subseteq (S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S]$. By Lemma 2.5., $c = a$. So $a\gamma b = a$. We have $a = b$. Therefore, $A = \{a\}$ with $a\gamma a = a$ for all $a \in A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The converse statement is clear.

Notation. The union of all two-sided bases of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ is denoted by R.

Theorem 3.7. Let $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ be an ordered Γ-semigroup. Then $S \setminus R$ is either empty set or a Γ-ideal of S.

Proof. Assume that $S \setminus R \neq \emptyset$. We will show that $S \setminus R$ is a Γ-ideal of S. Let $a \in S \setminus R$, $x \in S$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. To show that $x\gamma a \in S \setminus R$ and $a\gamma x \in S \setminus R$. Suppose that $x\gamma a \notin S \setminus R$. Then $x\gamma a \in R$. Hence $x\gamma a \in A$ for some a two-sided base A of S. We set $b = x\gamma a$ for some $b \in A$. Then $b \in S\Gamma a$. By $b \in S\Gamma a \subseteq a \cup S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S \subseteq (a \cup S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S] = I(a)$, it follow that $I(b) \subseteq I(a)$. Next we will show that $I(b) \subset I(a)$. Suppose that $I(b) = I(a)$. Since $a \in S \setminus R$ and $b \in A$, $a \neq b$. Since $I(b) = I(a)$ and Corollary 3.3, we conclude that $a \in R$. This is a contradiction. Thus $I(b) \subset I(a)$, i.e., $b \prec_I a$. Since A is a two-sided base of S and $a \in S \setminus R$, by Theorem 3.1.(1), there exists $d \in A$ such that $a \preceq_I d$. Since $b \prec_I a \preceq_I d$, $b \preceq_I d$. This is a contradiction to the condition (2) of Theorem 3.1., so we have $x\gamma a \in S \setminus R$. Similarly, to show that $a\gamma x \in S \setminus R$. Suppose that $a\gamma x \in R$, then $a\gamma x \in A$ for some a two-sided base A of S. Let $a\gamma x = c$ for some $c \in A$. Then $c \in a\Gamma S$. By $c \in a\Gamma S \subseteq a \cup S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S \subseteq (a \cup S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S] = I(a)$, it follow that $I(c) \subseteq I(a)$. Next, we will show that $I(c) \subset I(a)$. Suppose that $I(c) = I(a)$. Since $a \in S \setminus R$ and $c \in A$, $a \neq c$. Since $I(c) = I(a)$ and Corollary 3.3., we conclude that $a \in R$. This is a contradiction. Thus $I(c) \subset I(a)$, i.e., $c \prec_I a$. Since A is a two-sided base of S and $a \in S \setminus R$, by Theorem 3.1.(1), there exists $e \in A$ such that $a \preceq_I e$. Since $c \prec_I a \preceq_I e$, $c \preceq_I e$. This is a contradiction to the condition (2) of Theorem 3.1., so we have $a\gamma x \in S \setminus R$. Let $x \in S \setminus R$, $y \in S$ such that $y \leq x$. Next we will show that $y \in S \setminus R$. Suppose that $y \in R$, then $y \in A$ for some a two-sided base A of S. Since A is a two-sided bases of S, by Theorem 3.1.(1) there exists an element $z \in A$ such that $x \preceq_I z$. Since $y \leq x$, $y \preceq_I x$ and $x \preceq_I z$. So we have $y \preceq_I z$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $y \notin R$ then $y \in S \setminus R$. Hence $S \setminus R$ is a Γ-ideal of S.

Notation. Let M^* be a proper Γ-ideal of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ containing every proper Γ-ideal of S.

Theorem 3.8. Let $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ be an ordered Γ-semigroup and $\emptyset \neq R \subset S$. The following statements are equivalent:

(1) $S \setminus R$ is a maximal proper Γ-ideal of S;

(2) For every element $a \in R$, $R \subseteq I(a)$;

(3) $S \setminus R = M^*$;

(4) Every two-sided base of S is a one-element base.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). Assume that $S \setminus R$ is a maximal proper Γ-ideal of S. Let $a \in R$. Suppose that $R \not\subseteq I(a)$. Since $R \not\subseteq I(a)$, there exists $x \in R$ such that $x \notin I(a)$. Hence $x \notin S \setminus R$. Since $x \notin I(a)$, $x \notin S \setminus R$ and $x \in S$, we have $(S \setminus R) \cup I(a) \subset S$. Thus $(S \setminus R) \cup I(a)$ is a proper Γ-ideal of S. Hence $S \setminus R \subset (S \setminus R) \cup I(a)$. This contradicts to the maximality of $S \setminus R$.

Conversely, assume that for every element $a \in R, R \subseteq I(a)$. We will show that $S \setminus R$ is a maximal proper Γ-ideal of S. Since $a \in R$, $a \notin S \setminus R$. Hence $S \setminus R \subset S$. Since $R \subset S, S \setminus R \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 3.7., $S \setminus R$ is a proper Γ-ideal of S. Suppose that M is a proper Γ-ideal of S such that $S \setminus R \subset M \subset S$. Since $S \setminus R \subset M$, there exists $x \in M$ such that $x \notin S \setminus R$, i.e., $x \in R$. Then $x \in M \cap R$. So $M \cap R \neq \emptyset$. Let $c \in M \cap R$. Then $c \in M$ and $c \in R$. Since $c \in M$, we have $S\Gamma c \subseteq S\Gamma M \subseteq M$, $c\Gamma S \subseteq M\Gamma S \subseteq M$ and $S\Gamma c\Gamma S \subseteq S\Gamma M\Gamma S \subseteq M$. Then $I(c) = (c \cup S\Gamma c \cup c\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma c\Gamma S] \subseteq M$. Since $c \in R$, by assumption we have $R \subseteq I(c)$. Hence

$S=(S\setminus R)\cup R\subseteq(S\setminus R)\cup I(c)\subseteq M\subsetneq S$. Thus $M=S$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $S\setminus R$ is a maximal proper Γ-ideal of S.

(3) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4). Assume that $S\setminus R=M^*$. Since $S\setminus R=M^*$, $S\setminus R$ is a maximal proper Γ-ideal of S. By (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2), for every $a\in R, R\subseteq I(a)$. Firstly, we will show that for every $a\in R, S\setminus R\subseteq I(a)$. Suppose that $S\setminus R\nsubseteq I(a)$ for some $a\in R$. Then $I(a)\neq S$. Hence $I(a)$ is a proper Γ-ideal of S. Thus $I(a)\subseteq M^*=S\setminus R$. Then $I(a)\subseteq S\setminus R$. Since $a\in I(a), a\in S\setminus R$, i.e., $a\notin R$. This is a contradiction. Thus $S\setminus R\subseteq I(a)$ for every $a\in R$. Since $S\setminus R\subseteq I(a)$ and $R\subseteq I(a)$ for every $a\in R$, it follows that $S=(S\setminus R)\cup R\subseteq I(a)\cup I(a)=I(a)\subseteq S$. So $S=I(a)$ for every $a\in R$. Therefore, $\{a\}$ is a two-sided base of S. Let A be a two-sided base of S. We will show that $a=b$ for all $a,b\in A$. Suppose that there exists $a,b\in A$ such that $a\neq b$. Since A is a two-sided base of S, $A\subseteq R$. This is, $a\in R$. So $S=I(a)$. Since $b\in S=I(a)$ and $b\neq a$, $b\in(S\Gamma a\cup a\Gamma S\cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S]$. By Lemma 2.5., $a=b$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, every two-sided base of S is an one element base.

Conversely, assume that every two-sided base of S is an one element base. Then $S=I(a)$ for all $a\in R$. We will show that $S\setminus R=M^*$. The statement that $S\setminus R$ is a maximal proper Γ-ideal of S follows from the proof (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). Let M be a Γ-ideal of S such that M is not contained in $S\setminus R$. Then $R\cap M\neq\emptyset$. Let $a\in R\cap M$. Hence $a\in R$ and $a\in M$. So $S\Gamma a\subseteq S\Gamma M\subseteq M$, $a\Gamma S\subseteq M\Gamma S\subseteq M$ and $S\Gamma a\Gamma S\subseteq S\Gamma M\Gamma S$. So we have $I(a)=(a\cup S\Gamma a\cup a\Gamma S\cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S]\subseteq M$. Hence $S=I(a)\subseteq M\subseteq S$. Thus $M=S$. Therefore $S\setminus R=M^*$

(1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). Assume that $S\setminus R$ is a maximal proper Γ-ideal of S. We will show that $S\setminus R=M^*$. Since $S\setminus R$ is a proper Γ-ideal of S, $S\setminus R\subseteq M^*\subsetneq S$. By assumption, $S\setminus R=M^*$ or $S=M^*$. Since $S\neq M^*$, so we have $S\setminus R=M^*$. The converse statement is obvious.

Discussion

In this research, we investigated the notion of ordered Γ-semigroup containing two-sided bases. We proved that a non-empty subset A of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S,\Gamma,\leq)$ is a two-sided base of S if and only if A satisfies the two following conditions (1) for any $x\in S$ there exists $a\in A$ such that $x\preceq_I a$; (2) for any $a,b\in A$, if $a\neq b$, then neither $a\preceq_I b$ nor $b\preceq_I a$. Also, we showed that if A and B be any two-sided bases of an ordered Γ-semigroup $(S,\Gamma,\leq)$. Then A and B have the same cardinality.

Conclusions

In this research, we have resulted in ordered Γ-semigroup that is analogously in Γ-semigroup considered by T. Changpas and P. Kummoon in 2018.

References

Abul Basar, M.Y. Abbasi and Sabahat Ali Khan. (2017). Some properties of covered Γ-ideal in po-Γ-semigroups. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 115*(2), 345-352.

Chinnadurai, V., & Arulmozhi, K. (2018). Characterization of bipolar fuzzy ideal in ordered gamma semigroup. *Journal of the International Mathematical Virtual Institute, 8,* 141-156.

Fabrici, I. (1975). Two sided bases of semigroups. *Matematicky casopis, 25*(2), 173-178.

Iampan, A. (2009). Characterizing Ordered Bi-Ideal in Ordered Γ-Semigroups. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Informatics, 4*(1), 17-25.

Kostaq Hila & Edmond Pisha. (2006). Charecterizations on ordered Γ-semigroups. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 28*(3), 423-439.

Niovi Kehayopulu. (2011). On regular duo po-Γ-semigroup. *Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences, 61*(6), 871-884.

Kwon Y.I. and Lee S.K. (1998). On weakly prime ideals of ordered Γ-semigroup. *Comm. Korean Math. Soc., 13*(2), 251-256.

Niovi Kehayopulu. (2017). On intra-regular ordered Γ-semigroup. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 10*(4), 620-630.

Sen, M. K. (1981). On Γ-semigroup. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 91*, 301-308.

Subrahmanyeswara Rao Seetamraju, V.B., A. Anjaneyulu, A., & Madhusudana Rao, D. (2012). Po-Γ-ideals in Po-Γ-semigroup. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 1*(6), 39-51.

Thawhat Chang phas & Pisit Kummoon. (2018). On Γ-Semigroup Containing Two-sided bases. *KKU Sci. J., 46*(1), 154-161.

Thawhat Changphas & Pisit Kummoon. (2018). On left and right bases of a Γ-semigroup. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 118*(1), 125-135.